

WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

JOHN EAKIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF DEFENSE,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

No. SA-16-CV-972-RCL

ORDER

Upon consideration of Plaintiff’s Opposed Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 94), Defendants’ Response in Opposition, and the entire record in this case, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion should be and is DENIED.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, upon reconsideration of Plaintiff’s Opposed Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 58), the motion should be and is DENIED.

It is FINALLY ORDERED that, considering the foregoing, Plaintiff’s Original Complaint (ECF No. 1) and Defendant’s Original Answer (ECF No. 8) shall be the operative pleadings in this case.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2021.

THE HONORABLE ROYCE C. LAMBERTH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE