UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

JOHN EAKIN	
Plaintiff,	
V.	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	
Defendant	

CIVIL NO. SA-16-cv-0972-RCL

ORDER

§

On this day, came on for consideration Plaintiff's Opposed Motion for

Clarification and to Compel Production of Documents.

The Court having reviewed said Motion, finds that the Motion should be, and

hereby is, GRANTED.

The Order of this Court dated August 2, 2017 is amended to include the

following:

"Responsive, non-exempt documents" are defined as those requested documents digitized in bulk by government contractors. "Previously withheld, non-exempt, responsive documents" are those previously released to any FOIA requester or those files subject to inclusion in an agency electronic reading room under FOIA section (a)(2). These are files digitized by Defendant in the normal course of business when requested by either a government or FOIA requester and are distinct from those produced under contract to the government. The later class of documents are immediately releasable to Plaintiff without further review.

It is further **ORDERED** that defendant shall produce documents to Plaintiff in a

searchable .pdf file format.

Case 5:16-cv-00972-RCL Document 31-1 Filed 01/17/18 Page 2 of 3

It is further **ORDERED** that defendant shall use electronic means to review and redact any exempt documents and that all such review will be completed within sixty (60) days from the date of this Order.

It is further **ORDERED** that Defendant shall produce additional contract documents suspending the requirement to run the files through optical character recognition software if such documents exist or shall otherwise explain such contract non-conformity.

It is further **ORDERED** that Defendant shall produce additional scanning contract documents providing for digitization of less than approximately 442,000 files or less than all WWII Individual Deceased Personnel Files if such documents exist or otherwise shall explain such contract non-conformity.

It is further **ORDERED** that Defendant shall not redact non-exempt embedded documents and shall provide a Vaughn Index of all documents not produced to Plaintiff after review.

It is so ORDERED.

Signed this the ______, 2018

DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 5:16-cv-00972-RCL Document 31-1 Filed 01/17/18 Page 3 of 3