

administrative record. Defendants suggested that, if necessary, Plaintiff could seek additional documents after the administrative record was filed. (Docket Entry 10, at 1.)

Defendants filed the administrative record on February 1, 2013. (Docket Entry 12.) On February 15, 2013, Plaintiff filed another motion, objecting to the record and seeking, among other things, to compel the production of additional documents. *See* Plaintiff's Objection to the Administrative Record and Opposed Motion to Compel Completion of Administrative Record. (Docket Entry 15.)

Because Plaintiff's subsequent motion appears adequate to address any discovery issues in the case, Plaintiff's original Opposed Motion for Discovery (Docket Entry 9) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED March 25, 2013.


Henry J. Bemporad
United States Magistrate Judge